

VIRTUAL RESEARCH POSTER
Secondary Division
Judges Rating Sheet

State 2020-2021 Virtual Conference

Items required for state conference: [pdf copy of Research Poster](#)
 How to submit: [Uploaded to Tallo per guidelines from National HOSA to the AL HOSA Virtual SLC Opportunity](#)
 Deadline to Submit: [February 12, 2021](#)
 Description: [For the AL Virtual State Conference, competitors will upload a pdf copy of the research poster to Tallo.](#)
 Copy of Poster Uploaded*: Yes _____ No _____
 *If the materials are not uploaded, please note that applicable items on the rubric below cannot be judged.

Section # _____ Competitor Name & ID # _____
 Division: _____ SS Judge's Name _____

A. Overview	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
1. Research Question	The Research Question posed is health-related, specific, and reflects a deep understanding of an issue that needs addressing in the competitor's local community. It is evident the competitor was thorough in developing the question.	The Research Question is health-related but could benefit from being more specific and more action-oriented. There is some detail lacking to make it stand out.	The Research Question sufficiently addresses a health topic, but leaves the judges wanting more clarification or information to fully understand the question posed.	The Research Question is confusing, not fully thought out, and/or not a good representation of a health issue.	The Research Question is drastically lacking substance or is not included at all.	
B. Poster Content	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
1. Title	A title is included and the poster contains: competitor's name, Division, Chapter #, School Name, and State/ Association.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR Title is missing or all requirements are not met	
B. Poster Content	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
2. References	At least one reference is	NA	NA	NA	Poster not submitted OR	

	included on the poster.				No references are included on the poster.	
3.Acknowledgements	At least one person or community organization is acknowledged on the poster.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR No acknowledgements are made on the poster	
B. Poster Content	Excellent 15 points	Good 12 points	Average 9 points	Fair 6 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
4.Abstract	The Abstract does an excellent job summarizing the research. It clearly describes the purpose of the research, the overall methods, major findings, and a succinct summary of the conclusions. The abstract leaves the judges excited about learning more!	The Abstract included sufficient details to the purpose of the research, some of the methods, some findings, and is a good summary of the conclusions. The judges are curious about learning more.	The information provided in the Abstract to summarize the purpose, methods, findings, and conclusions is limited and/or some of these components are missing.	Some information was provided in the Abstract but was mostly surface-level and key points were missing.	Poster not submitted OR The Abstract is missing or did not describe all key items.	
5. Methods	The research methods are explicitly explained, including: 1) target population 2) how data was collected 3) how data was analyzed 4) how data was shared 5) A review of possible errors and biases is also included.	The research methods were explained. Some supporting points needed more detail, but all 5 items were covered.	Some of the research methods were explained but included only 4 of the 5 requirements.	The research methods explanation was limited and only included 2 or 3 of the 5 requirements.	Poster not submitted OR The research methods were not explained or included and/or left the judges with more questions than answers.	
B. Poster Content	Excellent 15 points	Good 12 points	Average 9 points	Fair 6 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
6. Results	The results of the research	The results of the research	The results of the research	The results of the research	Poster not submitted OR	

	are presented and explained in a way that makes sense and can be easily understood. It is clear what was discovered and an additional explanation about why the results matter is included.	are presented and explained but some questions remain. It is clear what was discovered but additional explanation about why the results matter is needed.	are presented but the explanation is not clear. There seems to be important information that should have been included. Minimal explanation about why results matter.	are limited and significant gaps are evident. No explanation of why the results matter.	The results of the research are not included and no description given of why they matter.	
--	---	---	---	---	---	--

B. Poster Content	Excellent 15 points	Good 12 points	Average 9 points	Fair 6 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
7. Conclusions	The conclusion provides a short and solid justification of the research question, explains the relevance of findings to the community and/or world, and explains why the results are conclusive.	The conclusion is mostly concise and does a good job of summarizing the justification of the research question, the relevance of the results, and why they are conclusive. More information is needed.	The conclusion provides minimal justification of the research question. Questions remain as to how the results can be used or why the results are conclusive.	There is not a solid justification of the research question nor how results are relevant nor if they are conclusive.	Poster not submitted OR The competitor failed to include conclusions or the conclusions drawn were out of scope.	
8. Images	2-5 images (graphs, tables, illustrations, photos, logos, etc.) are included. Images used add excellent value to the overall poster, complimenting the text, illustrating the findings, and reflecting key research. They stand out above others.	2-5 images are included and they do a good job of adding overall value to the poster and accurately representing the details of the research and process. They however, lack the special 'wow factor'	2-5 images are included that adequately connect to the research. They do not enhance nor distract from the poster.	2-5 images are included but their connection to the research and process is only fair. They distract from the overall appeal of the poster and/or do not accurately reflect the research project.	Poster not submitted OR 0-1, or more than 5 images are included	
C. Poster Design	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
1. Poster Size	Poster is 48" x 36" landscape orientation	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR Poster is not 48" x 36" and/or landscape orientation	
C. Poster Design	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
2. Artistic Design	The artistic quality is	The artistic quality is good;	The poster incorporates	Basic levels of artistic design	Poster not submitted OR	

	exceptional. The design is vibrant, balanced, visually pleasing and pushes the boundaries of artistic expression. The design choices take the poster to the next level and has that "wow factor"	the design stands out. The design elements seem to be well-thought out and comprehensive.	balanced design choices, showcasing some artistic features. Some of the poster lacks artistic details that took away from the overall visual of the poster.	are incorporated into the poster. Better design/color choices should be incorporated to assure the design of the poster is pleasing to the eye.	The design is simplistic and not visually appealing.	
--	--	---	---	---	--	--

C. Poster Design	Excellent 15 points	Good 12 points	Average 8 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	
3. Appearance / Organization	The poster is exceptionally neat, organized, and error-free. Information is clearly displayed and easy to understand and follow. Content is strategically placed to enhance the research and the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away.	Poster is neat and organized. The content has a logical flow with only minimal errors and does a good job enhancing the research process.	The poster was basic and could use more organization and thought to be understood.	The poster lacked organization and/or contained several spelling errors. The flow of information seemed to be out of order and it was difficult to read the poster from 3 feet away.	Poster not submitted OR The poster is either too busy or lacks enough detail to support the content.	
TOTAL POINTS (130)						