

VIRTUAL FORENSIC SCIENCE – Judge’s Rating Sheet

State 2020-2021 Virtual Conference

Items required for state conference: [Pdf of Case Study Analysis and Written Conclusion](#)

How to submit: [Uploaded to Tallo per guidelines from National HOSA to AL HOSA Virtual SLC Opportunity](#)

Deadline to Submit: [February 12, 2021](#)

Description: [For the AL Virtual State Conference, competitors will upload a copy of the Case Study Analysis & Written Conclusion to Tallo.](#)

Single PDF of Case Study Analysis & Written Conclusion Uploaded*: Yes ____ No ____

*If the materials are not uploaded, please note the entire rubric cannot be completed so no score would be given.

**FORENSIC SCIENCE
Written Conclusion**

Section# _____

Team # _____

Division: SS _____ PSC _____

CASE STUDY OPINION

Time of Death Range: _____

Immediate Cause of Death: _____

Manner of Death: _____

Other Conditions contributing to the immediate cause of death:

Evidence to support opinion:

Virtual Forensic Science– Judge’s Rating Sheet

Competitor Name & # _____ Judge’s Name _____
 Section # _____ Division: ____ SS ____ PS/Collegiate

Items Evaluated	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
1. Time of Death Range	Indicates the date and approximate time of death correctly.	N/A	Indicates a close approximation of the correct date and time of death.	N/A	Date or time is not close to the actual date or time of death or is missing altogether.	
2. Immediate Cause of Death	Accurately identifies the medical cause of death.	N/A	A partial identification of the medical cause of death is included.	N/A	The response does not reflect an accurate cause of death.	
3. Manner of Death: - Natural - Accident - Suicide - Homicide - Undetermined	Accurately identifies the manner of death as one of the five listed.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not accurately identify the-manner of death as one of the five listed.	
4. Other Conditions, as applicable	Team includes most specific and relevant factors contributing to the death but not considered the immediate cause.	N/A	Team includes only some of the relevant factors contributing to the death but not considered the immediate cause.	N/A	Team is not able to identify contributing factors to the death.	
5. Evidence A. Connects Evidence to Conclusions	Writing includes numerous pieces of evidence that completely supports the conclusions with logical details, facts, or examples.	N/A	Writing includes some pieces of evidence that partially supports the conclusions.	N/A	Writing does not include any pieces of evidence to support the conclusions.	
5. Evidence B. Application of Knowledge	Team draws numerous accurate conclusions using their knowledge of human anatomy and physiology and forensic science	N/A	Team draws several accurate conclusions using their knowledge of human anatomy and physiology and forensic science	N/A	Team draws very few accurate conclusions using their knowledge of human anatomy and physiology and forensic science	
Items Evaluated	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
5. Evidence C. Concise, clear and logical.	Physical evidence of this case was explained in a clear, concise and logical manner.	Physical evidence was explained in a way that was mostly clear, concise and logical.	The evidence was explained moderately clear, concise, and logically.	Some of the evidence was explained in a clear and logical manner.	The evidence was not explained in a clear or concise manner and information was not logical.	
5. Evidence D. Neatness and Spelling	Remarks are written neatly and spelled correctly.	Most of the remarks are written neatly and spelled correctly.	Some of the remarks were written neatly and spelled correctly.	The remarks have several errors and spelling errors.	Work appears to be sloppy and many words are spelled incorrectly.	
5. Evidence E. Grammar and Mechanics	Errors in grammar, spelling, punctuation, and capitalization are minimal and not distracting. (0-1 errors).	Minimal errors are found (1-2 errors). They do not detract from the general flow of the written conclusion.	2-3 errors were found, and they detract from the overall flow of the written conclusion.	There are 3-4 errors which detract from the overall meaning and flow of the written conclusion.	More than five errors are found. Errors are glaring, and the written conclusion is difficult to read.	
Total Points (70):						